

deprinil but enzyme phenylethanolamine *N*-methyl transferase (PNMT) showed an increase of 20% from non-treated values. Both these enzymes in the adrenals were more severely affected by deprinil in 24 h starved rats since the decrease in COMT and the increase in PNMT were more marked than in the fed animals. Adrenaline stores in the adrenal gland showed an increase but noradrenaline stores declined after deprinil administration to fed rats. The starved rats treated with deprinil demonstrated increased levels of adrenal noradrenaline as well as adrenaline from the

value of control rats. Expressing MAO activity per mg of glycogen in each organ and comparing it with MAO per mg of tissue or protein showed direct correlation with variations in glycogen content and MAO activity. The results provide evidence about an important link between the regulatory processes of carbohydrate metabolism and the control of MAO activity in male rats. The use of deprinil also suggests that selective inhibition of MAO activity may have important consequences upon physiological processes of metabolism with respect to glucose and glycogen.

Effects of drugs on suppressed responding

P.B. DEWS

Laboratory of Psychobiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

A type of procedure that has been widely used in studies in behavioural pharmacology has been variously called 'conflict' or 'punishment'. Repetitive responding of the nature of repeated operations of an electrical key by a subject is maintained by a schedule of reinforcement and then, during signalled periods of time, responses are followed by a noxious stimulus according to a program. During the signalled periods, responding is suppressed to a greater or lesser extent, depending on parameter values. This type of procedure has been studied in pigeons, rats and monkeys. There are two main reasons for the popularity of the procedure in pharmacology. First, the results by different investigators from Geller & Seifter (1960) to the present time have been very consistent in showing clearly differential effects of important classes of behaviour-affecting drugs: suppressed responding is increased, i.e. more responding occurs, following effective doses of chlordiazepoxide and barbiturates but not by amphetamine or chlorpromazine which may increase the suppression (Kelleher & Morse, 1968; Dews & DeWeese, 1976). Second, the results lend themselves to plausible descriptions in terms of 'fear' and 'anxiety' that are attenuated by minor tranquilizers.

It has never been demonstrated, however, that the selective effects of the drugs are related to the noxious stimulus (in practice, an electric shock). In the present work responding has been suppressed by a stimulus

that is in no way noxious. A rhesus monkey is seated in an isolation chamber with a small lever in comfortable reach in front. When the monkey presses the lever, a white light appears and remains on for 30 s and then goes off. During this period time is being accumulated towards a total interval of between 30 s and 5 min; when that predetermined interval has accumulated, the white light goes out and the next response produces food. The white light therefore merely signals that time is being accumulated toward the moment when food will again be available; nevertheless, the white light suppresses responding. Responding in the presence of the white light is increased by chlordiazepoxide HCl (10–100 µm/kg) and pentobarbital Na (10–30 µm/kg) but not by chlorpromazine HCl (1–10 µm/kg) or methamphetamine HCl (1–10 µm/kg), thus being affected by drugs just like responding suppressed by electric shock. It seems that the selectivity of the drugs depends on the characteristics of the controls of the responding and not on the 'fear' and 'anxiety' engendered by electric shocks and so the commonsense interpretation of 'conflict' and 'punishment' situations is misleading.

References

- GELLER, I. & SEIFTER, J. (1960). The effects of meprobamate, barbiturates, *d*-amphetamine and promazine on experimentally induced conflict in the rat. *Psychopharmacologia*, **1**, 482–492.
- KELLEHER, R.T. & MORSE, W.H. (1968). Determinants of the specificity of behavioral effects of drugs. *Ergeb. Physiol.*, **60**, 1–56.
- DEWS, P.B. & DEWEESE, J. (1976). Schedules of reinforcement. In *Handbook of Psychopharmacology*, ed. Iversen, L.L., Iversen, S. & Snyder, S.H. New York: Plenum Press. (In press.)